Chapter 49

7 year peace plan(Israel/Gaza)

Because of past correlation, the peace plan for Israel/Gaza would revolve around setting ceasefire agreements to last during the time of Mars's transit within 30 degrees of the lunar node. If not based on Mars, a ceasefire agreement should have a time limit that would highlight the desire for both parties to cease the violence, but also mark the need for both parties to willingly seek peace during the time periods when the agreement or contracts would expire. It would be important for the facilitator of such an arrangement to be able to convey to both sides that arranging such an agreement is to the benefit of the arranger along with the rest of the world and not to the parties involved. This is done as to not upset any determination by each party to balance the scales. It should be conveyed to the parties involved that such an arrangement is aware of its inconvenience to the pursuit of justice. Because fairness could never be applied in a way that would garner the approval of both sides, due to red lines being crossed on numerous occasions, it should be made clear that the intention of the facilitator is to seek approval for containment of what is prevailing in terms of living situation. In terms of managing the intricacies of what has transpired over the years in Palestine, the facilitator should be allowed to compensate parties based on past unresolved grievances, but also making it clear that the prevailing motivations may not reach fulfillment without the use of force by either party. In order to properly asses this unfortunate circumstance to each party, one should be prepared to offer a compensatory solution. Because the situation in Palestine favors Israel in terms of land mass and sovereign space, one should not pursue this discourse in a way that extracts Israel from the grievance process. The main purpose of setting up this arrangement is to present an outlook that doesn't only put Israel and the Palestinians against each other, but

also pits them side by side as victims of a misunderstood deal.

Its important to convey to the Palestinians that the only way for them to acquire all of Israel(all of which was initially promised to them during WWI for helping England defeat the Ottomans) and decide the course of events is through the use of evolving military force. It's important to ensure that any ceasing of this pursuit militarily on their part is to their detriment, but to the benefit of global stability, and because it would be to the benefit of global stability, an adequate compensation plan would presented to them. The key here is for Palestinians to be able to act outside of Israel's influence. Because Israel has rightfully defended themselves over the years and earned their situation because of that defense, their prevailing circumstances are allotted with a sense of principle. Because of this, they would retain their current status in Israel with acknowledgment of the principals behind their actions. This acknowledgment is their compensation for the hardship of enmity acquired over the years since Israel became a nation.

At this point Israel walks away with the area of Palestine that they already control and a judgment of principled behavior. Ideally, this dynamic removes the Palestinians away from conflict with Israel and into a dialogue with the rest of the world. Because it would be considered that they are giving something up by not fighting and not seeking more space in Palestine, the Palestinian Authority would be supported in putting in place a system responsible for issuing a certificate of monetary value that commemorates their act of peace. This certificate is to be recognized by the International Monetary Fund as a form of reserve currency to be placed in the IMF's special drawing rights(SDR) and would be backed by anything deemed appropriate by the PLA, but mainly backed by the PLA's act of maintaining peace with its neighbors. Because of this, the Palestinians and the rest of the world

would have a mutual understanding of the necessity for Palestinians to display mercy at the expense of justice so that the world can benefit. This prevailing peace by the Palestinians would maintain the currency, while any act of aggression toward Israel would upset the stability of the certificate and thwart its value. In this peace deal, the Palestinians lose the prospect of Palestine, but gain as a recognized global economic power. This aspect of economic recognition (stemming from the Palestinian peace decision) on a global scale serves as the symbolic gesture by the rest of world toward the Palestinians and acknowledges the Palestinians as the paragon of walking away from conflict for the sake of the greater whole. By raising foreign demand for the Palestinian certificate commemorating the peace process, one is also raising continued foreign demand for Israel-Palestinian peace.

Ideally such a certificate would display a Mars or Ares theme, which would remind people of the reality of war and the possibility to overcome it. The increase in global demand for the currency would be preceded by IMF loans denominated in Special Drawing Rights which would be backed by a basket of currencies that would now include the new Palestinian War currency along with the Chinese Yuan, British Pound, US Dollar, Euro, and the Japanese Yen. This gathers that Palestinian maneuvering away from violent conflict to the detriment of their own pursuit of living space would be a void filled by the new economic status. This avoidance of violent conflict would be considered major reinforcement to global economic stability and in itself a major player on the global economic stage.

This of course opens the door for other small nations to engage in violent conflict in order to garner such an arrangement. It must be made clear for all to understand that the IMF involvement in the peace process or Palestinian transference by seeking peace to the benefit of

global stability and providing the world with a token commemorating it stems from a very complex misunderstanding going back to WWI. While there are grievances around the world that deserve attention, this one involving Israel/Palestinians has threatened the world stability since 1948 and because of the overall risk of the conflict and the benefits of resolving it, it alone gets a special status amongst all other conflicts. The positive ripple under these new circumstances would be that the main catalyst for contention in the Middle East, which is the Israel/Palestinian issue, is no longer a factor in keeping Israel from being at peace with its neighbors. This positive outcome should extend to sentiments regarding the status of Israel in Lebanon, Yemen, and Iran.

Here is a recap of the process:

1. Start a discourse about Mars's influence on the Israel/Gaza conflict with the Israel and Palestinian scholarship entities.

2. With Egypt's help, set up ceasefire agreements to last only during the time Mars is within 30 degrees of the lunar node. (if not using Mars....at least put a time limit on the ceasefire agreements in order allow self-determination for peace outside of those agreement periods. The ceasefire time-frames should be established within a 7 year window. Basically, for example, between the year 2020-2027.....all ceasefire agreements within that 7 year period would be already pre-arranged with a time-limit.(ideally based on Mars/lunar node)

3. With the IMF, discuss the possibility of compensating the Palestinians for deciding not to fight and pursue living space anymore by arranging for a new currency of theirs(the Palestinians) to commemorate the peace decision and be placed in the basket of

currencies backing the Special Drawing Rights and also be used in individual commercial transactions.

4. With the UN, discuss the possibility of unclaimed territory being assigned to the ownership of the Palestinian Authority.

5. Set up an Ospolitk type of agreement where the PLA, Hamas, and Islamic Jihad movement would agree to not engage in any anti-Israel propaganda, and also refrain from ordering attacks against Israel. It must be made clear that doing so would threaten the status of the new global Palestinian peace commemoration currency and upset global economic stability. As a small independent nation, the Palestinians would retain all other forms of self-determination regarding their relations/ties/aid with the outside world of UN recognized nations.

The gist of the approach comes from empathy. By putting oneself in the shoes of one who has anger or a grievance or has had their red lined crossed, one will find it's much easier to decide against an aggressive course of action if that action in turn effects the larger whole. There are times when I am in a situation where I feel I am within my right to act out in anger against another entity. However, when I view the decision to use my anger a certain way in a way that places that decision in a position of responsibility for the well-being of the larger environment, I am more able to take part in a course of action that moves away from anger.

An example would be standing in a line at a soup kitchen. Lets says someone cuts in front of you. Your first reaction would be to confront the person and if the person resisted, the next reaction would be to physically move in front of him, and if he continues to resist the next reaction would be to use physical force. Being within that right would

make it hard for you to understand how someone could dare tell you to calm down or not act out in a way that is completely justifiable. It goes against every aspect of justice in that situation. However, in that situation, if you felt everyone else or the situation's status was solely dependent on you turning the other cheek, you would be more apt to do so because you would be able to tell yourself that your mercy was not in vain, it contributed to the well-being of everyone there even though it may not have been acknowledged. By placing the Palestinians in a position of responsibility regarding peace and how it would effect everyone in the world, it makes it easier for the Palestinians to pursue a course of mercy. Otherwise, any other suggestion of persuading them not to fight could not in itself be considered a virtue. It may actually further contribute to contention and alienation. Placing the decision -by the Palestinians to seek peace- in a position of global responsibility must be overt, acute, and recognizable and this is where the IMF and the aspect of turning this process into something that has tangible worth to the entire world comes into view.